## Run Description

The run is generated using a human in the loop active learning approach. HiCAL[2] is used for the task. It uses Continuous active learning[1] and a solr + bm25 search interface. The active learning model for each topic was seeded using judgments made by us in Round 1 and NIST qrel. For topics 1-30, at most 5 minutes were spent per topic. For topic 31-35, at most 15 minutes were spent per topic. [1] Gordon V. Cormack and Maura R. Grossman. Evaluation of machine-learning proto-cols for technology-assisted review in electronic discovery. InProceedings of the 37thInternational ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in InformationRetrieval, pages 153-162. ACM, 2014. [2] https://github.com/hical/HiCAL

| Summary Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Run ID | BBGhelani2 |
| Topic type | manual |
| Contributed to judgment sets? | no |


| Overall measures |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of topics | 35 |
| Total number retrieved | 35000 |
| Total relevant | 3002 |
| Total relevant retrieved | 2042 |
| MAP | 0.2402 |
| Mean Bpref | 0.5020 |
| Mean NDCG@10 | 0.5846 |
| Mean RBP $(\mathrm{p}=0.5)$ | $0.6374+0.0035$ |


| Document Level Averages |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Precision |
| At 5 docs | 0.7543 |
| At 10 docs | 0.6629 |
| At 15 docs | 0.5752 |
| At 20 docs | 0.5271 |
| At 30 docs | 0.4448 |
| R-Precision |  |
| Exact |  |





Per-topic difference from median bpref for all Round 2 runs


Per-topic difference from median NDCG@10 for all Round 2 runs

Round 2 results - Run BBGhelani2 submitted from BBGhelani



